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Disclaimer:
1. Policies are subject to change in accordance with State and Federal notice requirements.
2. Policies outline coverage determinations for U of U Health Plans Commercial, and Healthy U (Medicaid) plans. Refer to the “Policy” section for more information.

Description:
Implantable peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) is a type of neuromodulation therapy in which electrodes are surgically placed next to a selected peripheral nerve considered to be the source of chronic pain. (Peripheral nerves are nerves located outside of the brain and spinal cord). In this type of treatment, the electrode(s) delivers electrical impulses to the affected nerve. This electrical current is thought to then disrupt the normal transmission of pain signals leading to reduced levels of pain. During the trial period, the electrode is connected to an external device, and if the trial is successful, a small generator gets implanted into the patient’s body.

PNS has been proposed for the treatment of chronic, refractory pain that is nonresponsive to conservative treatments (e.g., neuropathic hemiplegic shoulder pain, back pain, carpal tunnel syndrome; causalgia, complex regional pain syndrome, failed back syndrome, fibromyalgia, hemiplegic shoulder pain, brachial plexus injuries, post-trauma pain, subacromial impingement syndrome, post-amputation pain, post-herpetic neuralgia, stroke, testicular pain, and trigeminal neuropathy).

Peripheral nerve field stimulation (PNFS), also known as subcutaneous peripheral field stimulation, is a recent technology proposed for the treatment of chronic cervical, thoracic, or lumbar pain. Electrode leads are placed in subcutaneous tissue around the painful area, and electrical current is applied to create stimulation in the area, or "field," of pain. This technique is different from peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS), in which specific peripheral nerves are targeted. In peripheral nerve field stimulation, a field of pain is targeted rather than specific nerves.
Policy Statement and Criteria

1. Commercial Plans

U of U Health Plans does NOT cover peripheral nerve stimulation and peripheral nerve field stimulation (PNFS) as there is insufficient evidence to support the safety and effectiveness. Therefore, it is considered investigational for all indications.

2. Medicaid Plans

Coverage is determined by the State of Utah Medicaid program; if Utah State Medicaid has no published coverage position and InterQual criteria are not available, the U of U Health Plans Commercial criteria will apply. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, please visit their website at [http://health.utah.gov/medicaid/manuals/directory.php](http://health.utah.gov/medicaid/manuals/directory.php) or the Utah Medicaid code Look-Up tool.

3. Medicare Plans

Coverage is determined by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS); if a coverage determination has not been adopted by CMS and InterQual criteria are not available, the U of U Health Plans Commercial criteria will apply. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit their search website at: [http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx](http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/overview-and-quick-search.aspx) or the manual website.

Clinical Rationale

*Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (PNS)*

The published peer-reviewed literature demonstrates insufficient evidence to support the safety and effectiveness of implanted PNS for any indication. Studies primarily have small patient populations in the form of case reports, retrospective reviews and case series (n=7–15) (Ilfeld, et al., 2019; Gilmore, et al., June 2019; Gilmore, et al., 2018; Wilson, et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2017; Stevanato, et al., 2014; Reverberi, et al., 2014; Stidd, 2012).

In a 2015 case-report, (Nguyen, et al.) describes the 1st participant treated with a fully implantable, single-lead PNS system for refractory hemiplegic shoulder pain. In this 6-week trial stage, a temporary lead was placed percutaneously near the terminal branches of the axillary nerve to the deltoid. The primary outcome measure was the Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form Question 3, a 0 to 10 pain NRS. The participant experienced 75% pain reduction and proceeded to the implantation stage, where he received a single-lead, implantable pulse generator. After 3 weeks, the participant became pain-free. However, 7 weeks after implantation, the system was turned off because of an unrelated acute medical illness. Hemiplegic shoulder pain re-emerged with a Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form Question 3 score of 9. After 11 weeks of recovery, PNS was re-initiated and the participant became pain-free through the 9-month follow-up. At 12 months, Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form Question 3 score was 1. In conclusion, this report demonstrated the feasibility of a single-lead, fully implantable PNS system for refractory hemiplegic shoulder pain.
In 2016, an industry funded crossover study (Deer, et al.), described 94 patients with pain of peripheral origin that were implanted and then randomized to the treatment of 45 patients with peripheral nerve stimulation and 49 patients into the control group. The primary efficacy endpoint was response rate, defined as a 30 percent decrease in a numerical rating scale, with no upward titration in the patient's medication regimen, three months after randomization to treatment. The investigators reported that patients receiving active stimulation achieved a statistically significantly higher response rate of 38% versus the 10% rate found in the control group (p = 0.0048). Improvement in pain was statistically significant between the randomized groups, with the treatment group achieving a mean pain reduction of 27.2% from baseline to month 3 compared to a 2.3% reduction in the control group (p < 0.0001). During the partial crossover period, patients again demonstrated statistically significant improvement in pain relief with active stimulation compared to baseline. Further, the treatment group had significantly better improvement than the control group in secondary measures including but not limited to quality of life and satisfaction. Safety, assessed throughout the trial and with follow-up to one year, demonstrated no serious adverse events related to the device. The authors concluded, that all device-related adverse events were minor and self-limiting. Further studies confirming these benefits are needed.

In a 2018 case series, Wilson et al., investigated the feasibility and safety of a single-lead, fully implantable PNS system for the treatment of chronic shoulder pain in stroke survivors. Subjects had moderate-to-severe shoulder pain not responsive to conservative therapies for 6 months. During the trial phase, which included a blinded sham introductory period, a percutaneous single-lead PNS system was implanted to stimulate the axillary nerve of the affected shoulder. After a 3-week successful trial, subjects received an implantable pulse generator with an electrode placed to stimulate the axillary nerve of the affected shoulder. Outcomes included pain, pain interference, pain-free external rotation ROM, QOL, and safety; subjects were followed-up for 24 months. A total of 28 subjects underwent trial stimulation and 5 participants received an implantable pulse generator. Subjects who received the implantable generator experienced an improvement in pain severity (p = 0.0002). All 5 subjects experienced a 50 % or greater pain reduction at 6 and 12 months, and 4 experienced at least a 50 % reduction at 24 months. There was an improvement in pain interference (p < 0.0001). There was an improvement in pain-free external ROM (p = 0.003). There were no serious AEs related to the device or to the procedure. In conclusion, this study demonstrated the safety and efficacy of a fully implantable axillary PNS system for chronic hemiplegic shoulder pain. Subjects experienced reduction in pain, reduction in pain interference, and improved pain-free external rotation ROM. There were no serious adverse events associated with the system or the procedure.

In June of 2019, Gilmore et al. conducted a double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled study of 28 lower extremity amputees with post-amputation. The subjects underwent ultrasound-guided implantation of percutaneous PNS leads and were randomized to receive PNS (with SPRINT, SPR Therapeutics), or placebo for 4 weeks. The placebo group then crossed over and all subjects received PNS for four additional weeks. The primary efficacy endpoint evaluated the proportion of subjects reporting ≥50% pain reduction during one to four weeks. A greater proportion of subjects receiving PNS (n=7/12, 58%, p=0.037) demonstrated ≥50% reductions in average post-amputation pain during weeks one through four compared with subjects receiving placebo (n=2/14, 14%). Two subjects were excluded from efficacy analysis due to eligibility changes. Greater proportions of PNS subjects also reported ≥50% reductions in pain (n=8/12, 67%, p=0.014) and pain interference (n=8/10, 80%, p=0.003) after 8 weeks of therapy compared with subjects receiving placebo (pain: n=2/14, 14%; pain interference: n=2/13, 15%). In conclusion, this study demonstrates that percutaneous PNS therapy may provide enduring
clinically significant pain relief and improve disability in patients with chronic neuropathic post-
amputation pain. However, limitations of the study included a small number of subjects.

Then in November of 2019, Gilmore et al reported on the 12 month outcomes from the cohort study
conducted by Gilmore et al, in June 2019 (above). It mentioned that more participants in group one
reported ≥50% reductions in average weekly pain at 12 months (67%, 6/9) compared with group two at
the end of the placebo period (0%, 0/14, p=0.001). In addition, 56% (5/9) of participants in group one
reported ≥50% reductions in pain interference at 12 months, compared with 2/13 (15%, p=0.074) in
group two at crossover. The authors concluded that percutaneous PNS delivered over a 60-day period
may provide significant carry-over effects including pain relief, potentially avoiding the need for a
permanently implanted system while enabling improved function in patients with chronic pain. With
limitations of the study including the small number of subjects at 12 months and the loss of participants
to follow-up, further robust studies are needed.

In addition, multiple reviews by Hayes, Inc have noted there is an insufficient quantity of published,
peer-reviewed, human clinical data to evaluate the use of either the StimRouter System or the SPRINT
PNS System (SPR Therapeutics) for treatment of chronic pain in a health technology assessment (HTA).

Peripheral nerve field stimulation (PNFS)

Randomized controlled clinical trial data, and meta-analyses are lacking in the published, peer-reviewed
scientific literature and there is insufficient evidence to determine safety and effectiveness of this
therapy. Published peer-reviewed clinical trial data is primarily limited to case series and prospective
and retrospective reviews and studies with small number of subjects (McRoberts, et al., 2013; Petersen,
et al., 2014; Verrills, et al., 2011; Mitchell, et al., 2016).

A 2018 prospective study (Ishak et al.), assessed the usefulness, safety, and efficacy of subcutaneous
peripheral nerve field stimulation, in 26 consecutive patients with chronic low back pain. Two electrodes
were implanted vertically at a depth of 1 cm into the subcutaneous tissue, ≤10 cm from the region of
maximum pain. Trial neurostimulation was performed in all patients for 14 days. A successful outcome
was defined as at least 50% pain relief and to monitor the effects of permanent neurostimulation, the
Visual Analog Scale (VAS), the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), and quality of life (EQ-5D-3L) were scored
preoperatively and at 6-month and 24-month follow-ups. Thirteen patients responded to trial
stimulation and had a permanent neurostimulator implanted. The use of pain medication, including
opioid analgesics, was reduced in 92% of patients after 24 months. VAS, ODI, and EQ-5D-3L scores were
improved in these patients at the 24-month follow-up. The complication rate was 23% (3/13 patients).
In non-responders, the VAS and ODI at 24 months dropped as well but the decrease was less
pronounced compared to responders and did not lead to decrease in pain medication. The authors
concluded that this study included a small number of participants, therefore, larger prospective,
randomized, controlled studies are needed to confirm findings.

The American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management; American Society of
Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine published practice guidelines for chronic pain management
(2010). Regarding subcutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation, the guidelines indicate that studies with
observational findings indicate that subcutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation can provide pain relief
for assessment periods ranging from four months to two years (Category B2 evidence). [Category B2
evidence: the literature contains non-comparative observational studies with associative (e.g., relative
risk and correlation) or descriptive statistics].
### Applicable Coding

**CPT Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64555</td>
<td>Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; peripheral nerve (excludes sacral nerve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64575</td>
<td>Incision for implantation of neurostimulator electrode array; peripheral nerve (excludes sacral nerve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64585</td>
<td>Revision or removal of peripheral neurostimulator electrode array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64590</td>
<td>Insertion or replacement of peripheral or gastric neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver, direct or inductive coupling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64595</td>
<td>Revision or removal of peripheral or gastric neurostimulator pulse generator or receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64999</td>
<td>Unlisted procedure, nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95970</td>
<td>Electronic analysis of implanted neurostimulator pulse generator/transmitter (e.g., contact group[s], interleaving, amplitude, pulse width, frequency [Hz], on/off cycling, burst, magnet mode, dose lockout, patient selectable parameters, responsive neurostimulation, detection algorithms, closed loop parameters, and passive parameters) by physician or other qualified health care professional; with brain, cranial nerve, spinal cord, peripheral nerve, or sacral nerve, neurostimulator pulse generator/transmitter, without programming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95971</td>
<td>; with simple spinal cord or peripheral nerve (e.g., sacral nerve) neurostimulator pulse generator/transmitter programming by physician or other qualified health care professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95972</td>
<td>; with complex spinal cord or peripheral nerve (e.g., sacral nerve) neurostimulator pulse generator/transmitter programming by physician or other qualified health care professional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HCPCS Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1767</td>
<td>Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), non-rechargeable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1778</td>
<td>Lead, neurostimulator (implantable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1787</td>
<td>Patient programmer, neurostimulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1816</td>
<td>Receiver and/or transmitter, neurostimulator (implantable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1820</td>
<td>Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), non-high-frequency with rechargeable battery and charging system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1822</td>
<td>Generator, neurostimulator (implantable), high frequency, with rechargeable battery and charging system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1883</td>
<td>Adaptor/extension, pacing lead or neurostimulator lead (implantable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C1897  Lead, neurostimulator test kit (implantable)
L8679  Implantable neurostimulator, pulse generator, any type
L8680  Implantable neurostimulator electrode, each
L8681  Patient programmer (external) for use with implantable programmable neurostimulator pulse generator, replacement only
L9682  Implantable neurostimulator radiofrequency receiver
L8683  Radiofrequency transmitter (external) for use with implantable neurostimulator radiofrequency receiver
L8685  Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, rechargeable, includes extension
L8686  Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, non-rechargeable, includes extension
L8687  Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, dual array, rechargeable, includes extension
L8688  Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, dual array, non-rechargeable, includes extension
L8689  External recharging system for battery (internal) for use with implantable neurostimulator, replacement only
L8695  External recharging system for battery (external) for use with implantable neurostimulator, replacement only
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Disclaimer:
This document is for informational purposes only and should not be relied on in the diagnosis and care of individual patients. Medical and Coding/Reimbursement policies do not constitute medical advice, plan preauthorization, certification, an explanation of benefits, or a contract. Members should consult with appropriate health care providers to obtain needed medical advice, care, and treatment. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the member’s individual benefit plan that is in effect at the time services are rendered. The codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this policy are included for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

U of U Health Plans makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information cited or relied upon in this policy. U of U Health Plans updates its Coverage Policies regularly, and reserves the right to amend these policies and give notice in accordance with State and Federal requirements.